

## Reach 1 - Wetland (A); Stream (1)

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/10/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Wolfskin, 2019-00639

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Oglethorpe County State: Georgia City: Winterville Approximate center coordinates of site (in degree decimal format): Latitude 33.878539 °N, Longitude -83.246738 ° W Universal Transverse Mercator Zone:

Name of nearest waterbody: Moss Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Oconee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  $\boxtimes$ 

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Contraction - 1/10/20

x Field Determination - Date(s): 12/27/19

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

## **B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
    - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
    - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
    - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:

Jurisdictional Tributaries: 4,724 linear feet width (ft) and/or 0.66 acres

Other Jurisdictional WOUS: acres

Jurisdictional Wetlands: 1.41 acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. and 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): unknown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

#### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months) if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abut an RPW also requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water.

If a significant nexus is required, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If a significant nexus is required, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 5,333 square miles Drainage area: 69.3 acres Average annual rainfall: 49.66 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

## (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ⊠ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW4: Tributaries drain to Moss Creek (Stream 5), which ultimately drains to the Oconee River.

Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	Tributary is: 🛛 Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Agricultural practices
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 3-6 feet Average depth: 0.5-5 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
	X Silts X Sands ☐ Concrete
	Bedrook Vecetation Type/% cover:
	Other. Explain:
	Tributary and itim/ tability [a a highly and in a slaughing harded. Evenlain Stream 1 apparents he relatively stable
	and receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:
	Tributary geometry: Meandering Stream 1 has strong sinuosity (Ratio 1.44).
	Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(0)	Flow
	Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow
	Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:
	Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributery has (check all that apply):
	Bed and banks
	OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):
	☑ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris
	changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation
	shelving L the presence of wrack line
	leaf litter distributed or washed away
	$\square$ real inter distanced of washed away $\square$ scould $\square$ multiple observed or predicted flow events
	water staining abrupt change in plant community
	$\Box$ other (list):
	Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA invisidiction (check all that apply):
	High Tide Line indicated by:
	oil or scum line along shore objects
	fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
	physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
	L tidal gauges
	other (list):
Che	emical Characteristics:
Cha	racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
	Explain: Water color is brown; some areas within the tributaries have an orange-brownish iron sheen. Watershed is
	generary mixed pine narowood torest, agricultural use, and restucinual.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>6</sup>Ibid.

#### (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, 150-600 feet
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Ground, mid, and upper canopy coverage providing habitat for aquatic species and wildlife diversity.

#### 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:

#### (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
  - Ecological connection. Explain:
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

#### (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

#### (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water color is brown with some iron oil sheen on surface. Watershed is generally mixed pine/hardwood forest, agricultural use, and residential. Identify specific pollutants, if known:

#### (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, 150-600 feet
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

#### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 6

Approximately 1.41 acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland A (Y)	1.41

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands perform functions such as flood control, erosion and sediment control, pollution control, and providing wildlife habitat.

## C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

Stream 1 contains a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to downstream jurisdictional streams and wetlands which ultimately flow into the Oconee River.

4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Wetland (A) contains a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to abutting RPWs that flow indirectly to the Oconee River.

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS, THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Stream 1 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation and contained distinct ordinary high-water marks, moderate to strong channel bed and bank, strong distribution of substrate size, moderate sinuosity, and groundwater inputs.

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

#### 3. Non-RPWs<sup>7</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:
  - Identify type(s) of waters:

### 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
  - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Stream 1 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation and contained distinct ordinary high-water marks, moderate to strong channel bed and bank, strong distribution of substrate size, moderate sinuosity, and groundwater inputs.

Stream 1 provides surface water/hydrology inputs to Wetland A. Wetland A is located within the floodplain of Stream 1.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.41 acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>8</sup>

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

#### E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	<ul> <li>which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes</li> <li>from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce</li> <li>which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce</li> <li>Interstate isolated waters - Explain:</li> <li>Other factors - Explain:</li> </ul>
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	<ul> <li>Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):</li> <li>Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).</li> <li>Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .</li> <li>Wetlands: acres.</li> </ul>
F.	<ul> <li>NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</li> <li>If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engine ers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.</li> <li>Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.</li> <li>Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).</li> <li>Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Other: (explain, if not covered above): .</li> <li>Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture; i.e., <u>SWANCC</u></li> <li><u>Decision</u>), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):</li> <li>Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).</li> <li>Lakes/ponds: acres.</li> <li>Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .</li> <li>Wetlands: acres.</li> </ul>
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard (i.e., <u>Rapanos Decision</u> ), where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE</u>	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.         SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):         Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:         Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.         Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.         Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.         Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - USGS NHD data

F.

- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
   U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:12000; Athens East, Crawford, Barnett Shoals, Maxeys (GA)
   USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Oglethorpe County
   National wetlands inventory map(s): NWI Digital Data
   State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
   FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA NFHL GIS
   100-year Floodplain Elevation:
   Photographs: Aerial: ESRI World Imagery, 2016
   or M Other: Eidd photographs. April 2019

- - or 🛛 Other: Field photographs, April 2019
  - Previous determination(s):
  - Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify): Historical Aerials, LiDAR

## **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**



Reach 2 - Open Water (1); Streams (2, 3, & 4)

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/10/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Wolfskin, 2019-00639

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Oglethorpe County State: Georgia City: Winterville Approximate center coordinates of site (in degree decimal format): Latitude 33.878539 °N, Longitude -83.246738 ° W Universal Transverse Mercator Zone:

Name of nearest waterbody: Moss Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Oconee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  $\boxtimes$ 

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination – Date: 1/10/2020 Field Determination – Date: 1/10/2020

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

## **B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
    - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
    - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
    - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:

Jurisdictional Tributaries: 4,724 linear feet width (ft) and/or 0.66 acres

Other Jurisdictional WOUS: acres

Jurisdictional Wetlands: 1.41 acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. and 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): unknown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

#### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months) if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abut an RPW also requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water.

If a significant nexus is required, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If a significant nexus is required, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 5,333 square miles Drainage area: 69.3 acres Average annual rainfall: 49.66 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

## (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ⊠ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW4: Tributaries drain to Moss Creek (Stream 5), which ultimately drains to the Oconee River.

Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):</u>
	Tributary is: 🛛 Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-antered). Explain: Agricultural practices
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 3-6 feet Average depth: 0.5-5 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):       Image: Concrete in the concrete
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Stream 1 appears to be relatively stable and receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Meandering Stream 1 has strong sinuosity (Ratio 1.44). Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow:         Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow         Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List         Describe flow regime: Perennial.         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:         □ Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):         □ Bed and banks         □ OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank         □ shelving         □ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent         □ sediment deposition         □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away         □ scontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):          High Tide Line indicated by:       Mean High Water Mark indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects       survey to available datum;         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)       physical markings;         physical markings/characteristics       vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.         tidal gauges       other (list):
Ch	☐ tidal gauges ☐ other (list):
Cha	aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:
Iden	ntify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>6</sup>Ibid.

#### (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, 150-600 feet
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Ground, mid, and upper canopy coverage providing habitat for aquatic species and wildlife diversity.

#### 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: Surface flow is: **Pick List** Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:

#### (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
  - Ecological connection. Explain:
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

- (ii) Chemical Characteristics:
  - Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water color is brown with some iron oil sheen on surface. Watershed is generally mixed pine/hardwood forest, agricultural use, and residential.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

#### (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, 150-600 feet
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

#### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands perform functions such as flood control, erosion and sediment control, pollution control, and providing wildlife habitat.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

## Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

## Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

Streams 2, 3, & 4 contains a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to downstream jurisdictional streams and wetlands which ultimately flow into the Oconee River.

4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

## 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Jurisdictional Tributaries 2, 3, 4 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation. However, the geomorphology/hydrology/biology of these tributaries were not as strong to indicate year-round flow. These tributaries contained moderate channel bed and bank, weak sinuosity, and channel substrate sorting was limited.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

1.

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: Identify type(s) of waters:

#### 3. Non-RPWs<sup>7</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
  - Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

## 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- □ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>8</sup>

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or

Open Water 1 was created by impounding the headwaters of Stream 2. Open Water 1 is drained through a culvert and is the headwaters of Stream 2 which ultimately drains to Stream 1, and ultimately to the Oconee River.

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

#### E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>9</sup>

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
- Interstate isolated waters Explain:
- Other factors Explain:

#### Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

<sup>7</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  - Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
  - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture; i.e., <u>SWANCC</u> <u>Decision</u>), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard (i.e., <u>Rapanos Decision</u>), where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

#### SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - USGS NHD data
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:12000; Athens East, Crawford, Barnett Shoals, Maxeys (GA)
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Oglethorpe County
- National wetlands inventory map(s): NWI Digital Data
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA NFHL GIS
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation:
- Photographs: Aerial: ESRI World Imagery, 2016
  - or 🛛 Other: Field photographs, April 2019
- Previous determination(s):
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify): Historical Aerials, LiDAR

#### B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:



## Reach 3 - Open Water (2)

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/10/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Wolfskin, 2019-00639

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Oglethorpe County State: Georgia City: Winterville Approximate center coordinates of site (in degree decimal format): Latitude 33.878539 °N, Longitude -83.246738 ° W Universal Transverse Mercator Zone:

Name of nearest waterbody: Moss Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Oconee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  $\boxtimes$ 

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination - Date: 1/10/2020

Field Determination - Date(s): 12/27/2019

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

## **B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
    - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
    - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
    - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

#### b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:

Jurisdictional Tributaries: linear feet width (ft) and/or acres

Other Jurisdictional WOUS: 0.76 acres

Jurisdictional Wetlands: acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known): unknown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

#### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months) if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abut an RPW also requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water.

If a significant nexus is required, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If a significant nexus is required, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

- (i) General Area Conditions:
  - Watershed size square miles Drainage area: acres Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches
- (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u> Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 0 tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW4: The open water drains south to Moss Creek (Stream 5) which drains to the Oconee River.

Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)       General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):         Tributary is:       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):         Image: Characteristic (check all that apply):       Image: Check all that apply):         Image: Check all that apply: Check all that apply):       Image: Check all that apply): <tr< th=""></tr<>
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: <b>Pick List</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow:         Tributary provides for: Pick List         Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List         Describe flow regime:         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         clear, natural line impressed on the bank         the presence of litter and debris         changes in the character of soil         shelving       the presence of wrack line         yegetation matted down, bent, or absent       sediment sorting         sediment deposition       multiple observed or predicted flow events         abrupt change in plant community       other (list):         Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):          High Tide Line indicated by:       Mean High Water Mark indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects       survey to available datum;         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)       physical markings/characteristics         tidal gauges       other (list):
(iii) Che Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water color is brown; some areas within the tributaries have an orange-brownish iron sheen. Watershed is generally mixed pine/hardwood forest, agricultural use, and residential.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>6</sup>Ibid.

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
- 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties:

Wetland quality. Explain:

(b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
  - Ecological connection. Explain:
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) <u>Proximity (Relationship) to TNW</u> Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

#### (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

#### (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- □ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands perform functions such as flood control, erosion and sediment control, pollution control, and providing wildlife habitat.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

## Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

## Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

## 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:
  - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 3. Non-RPWs<sup>7</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
    - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
    - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres

- 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>8</sup>
  - As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
  - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or

Open Water 2 was created by impounding the headwaters of an unnamed tributary (Attachment E: GeoSearch Aerials). Open Water 2 is drained through a culvert which drains to Moss Creek, and ultimately to the Oconee River.

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

#### E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>9</sup>

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes

- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
- Interstate isolated waters Explain:
- Other factors Explain:

#### Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

] Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  - Identify type(s) of waters:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Wetlands: acres.

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
  - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture; i.e., <u>SWANCC</u> Decision), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard (i.e., <u>Rapanos Decision</u>), where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
  - Other non-wetland waters:
  - Wetlands: acres.

#### SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
  - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
    - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
      - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
      - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
  - Corps navigable waters' study:
  - U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
    - USGS NHD data
    - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
  - U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:12000; Athens East, Crawford, Barnett Shoals, Maxeys (GA)
  - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Oglethorpe County
  - National wetlands inventory map(s): NWI Digital Data
  - State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
  - FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA NFHL GIS
  - 100-year Floodplain Elevation:
  - Photographs: Aerial: ESRI World Imagery, 2016
    - or Other: Field photographs, April 2019
    - Previous determination(s):
    - Applicable/supporting case law:
  - Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
  - Other information (please specify): Historical Aerials, LiDAR

#### B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:



Aquatic Resources - Wetland (B, C, & D); Streams (5, 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10)

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/10/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Wolfskin, 2019-00639

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Oglethorpe County State: Georgia City: Winterville Approximate center coordinates of site (in degree decimal format): Latitude 33.878539 °N, Longitude -83.246738 ° W Universal Transverse Mercator Zone:

Name of nearest waterbody: Moss Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Oconee River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  $\boxtimes$ 

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

C Office (Desk) Determination - Date: 1/10/2020

Field Determination - Date(s): 12/27/2019

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

## **B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

## 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
    - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
    - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
    - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:

Jurisdictional Tributaries: 7,593 linear feet width (ft) and/or 4.57 acres

Other Jurisdictional WOUS: acres

Jurisdictional Wetlands: 1.98 acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. and 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): unknown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

#### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months) if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abut an RPW also requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water.

If a significant nexus is required, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If a significant nexus is required, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 5,333 square miles Drainage area: 94.6 acres Average annual rainfall: 49.66 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ⊠ Tributary flows through 3 - 6 tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW4: Tributaries drain to Moss Creek (Stream 5), which ultimately drains to the Oconee River.

Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
Tributary is: 🛛 Natural
Artificial (man-made). Explain:
Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Agricultural practices
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
Average width: 2 - 8 feet (Streams 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10)
15 - 25 feet (Stream 5)
Average depth: 0.5 - 5 feet (Head cuts from agricultural disturbance)
Average side slopes: 2:1.
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
⊠ Silts ⊠ Sands □ Concrete
🛛 Cobbles 🖾 Gravel 🗌 Muck
Bedrock Usgetation. Type/% cover:
Other. Explain:
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 5, 6, 7, & 8 appear to be relatively stable. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events. Stream 9 & 10 appears relatively stable and however, signes of acclerated erosion are apparent from agricultural practices.
Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Stream 5 contains riffle/pool sequences. Streams 6, 7, & 8 appear to be
dominated by riffles. Stream 9 & 10 lacked the presence of riffle/pool complexes.
Tributary geometry: Meandering

Tributary geometry: **MeanGering** Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: <b>Pick List (Perennial Streams</b> Estimate average number of flow events in review area Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume:	– Stream 5) (Intermittent Streams – Streams 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10) Jyear: Pick List
Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:	
Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings:	
Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:	<ul> <li>the presence of litter and debris</li> <li>destruction of terrestrial vegetation</li> <li>the presence of wrack line</li> <li>sediment sorting</li> <li>scour</li> <li>multiple observed or predicted flow events</li> <li>abrupt change in plant community</li> </ul>
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determin High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):	<ul> <li>lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):</li> <li>Mean High Water Mark indicated by:</li> <li>survey to available datum;</li> <li>physical markings;</li> <li>vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. Ibid.

#### (iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water color is brown; some areas within the tributaries have an orange-brownish iron sheen. Watershed is generally mixed pine/hardwood forest, agricultural use, and residential.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

#### (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, +/- 100 feet
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Ground, mid, and upper canopy coverage providing habitat for aquatic species and wildlife diversity.

#### 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland B = 0.25 acres, Wetland B = PFO1B Wetland quality. Explain: Medium – abutting RPW that flow indirectly into TNWs. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
  - Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

## (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Physical surface water connection through Stream 9.
  - Ecological connection. Explain:
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

#### (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 20 - 50-year floodplain.

## (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water color is brown with some iron oil sheen on surface. Vegetation is generally hardwoods and ground story herbaceous.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

## (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, 100-150 feet
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Hardwood and ground story herbaceous wetland with observed saturation.

#### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3

Approximately 1.98 acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland B (Y)	0.25		
Wetland C (Y)	0.64		
Wetland D (Y)	1.08		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands perform functions such as flood control, erosion and sediment control, pollution control, and providing wildlife habitat.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

## Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

Stream 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10 contains a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to downstream jurisdictional streams and wetlands which ultimately flow into the Oconee River.

4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Wetland (B, C, & D) contains a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to abutting RPWs that flow indirectly to the Oconee River.

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Stream 5 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation and contained distinct ordinary high-water marks, moderate to strong channel bed and bank, strong distribution of substrate size, moderate sinuosity, and groundwater inputs.

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Stream 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation. However, the geomorphology, hydrology, and biology of these tributaries were not as strong to indicate year-round flow. These tributaries contained weak channel bed and bank, and weak sinuosity.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: 7,593 linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

#### 3. Non-RPWs<sup>7</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  - Identify type(s) of waters: .

### 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
  - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetlands C and D directly abut Stream 5 which had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation and contained distinct ordinary high-water marks, moderate to strong channel bed and bank, strong distribution of substrate size, moderate sinuosity, and groundwater inputs. Wetland C and D drain directly into Stream 5.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetland B directly abuts Stream 9 which had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation. However, the geomorphology, hydrology, and biology of Stream 9 was not as strong to indicate year-round flow. Stream 9 contained weak channel bed and bank, weak sinuosity, and weak substrate sorting.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.98 acres.

#### 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>8</sup>

7See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
	Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
	Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): <sup>9</sup> which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce Interstate isolated waters - Explain: Other factors - Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):         Tributary waters:       linear feet         width (ft).         Other non-wetland waters:       acres.         Identify type(s) of waters:       .         Wetlands:       acres.
F.	<ul> <li>NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</li> <li>If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engine ers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.</li> <li>Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.</li> <li>Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in <i>"SWANCC</i>," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).</li> <li>Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:</li> </ul>
	Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture; i.e., <u>SWANCC</u> <u>Decision</u> ), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):           Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):         linear feet         width (ft).           Lakes/ponds:         acres.           Other non-wetland waters:         acres. List type of aquatic resource:         .
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard (i.e., <u>Rapanos Decision</u> ), where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	<ul> <li>SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.</li> </ul>

- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
   Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** 



Reach 5 - Wetland (E & F); Streams (11 & 12)

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/10/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Wolfskin, 2019-00639

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Oglethorpe County State: Georgia City: Winterville Approximate center coordinates of site (in degree decimal format): Latitude 33.878539 °N, Longitude -83.246738 ° W Universal Transverse Mercator Zone:

Name of nearest waterbody: Moss Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Oconee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  $\boxtimes$ 

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination - Date: 1/10/2020

Field Determination - Date(s): 12/27/2019 v

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

## **B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
    - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
    - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
    - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:

Jurisdictional Tributaries: 798 linear feet width (ft) and/or 0.04 acres

Other Jurisdictional WOUS: acres

Jurisdictional Wetlands: 1.17 acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. and 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): unknown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

#### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months) if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abut an RPW also requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water.

If a significant nexus is required, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If a significant nexus is required, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 5,333 square miles Drainage area: 13.2 acres Average annual rainfall: 49.66 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

## (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ⊠ Tributary flows through 3 - 4 tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW4: Tributaries drain to Moss Creek (Stream 5), which ultimately drains to the Oconee River.

Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary is:		(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
☐ Artificial (mm-mde). Explain: Agricultural practices          Imaginalized (man-adtered). Explain: Agricultural practices         Imaginalized (man-adtered). Explain: Agricultural practices         Average width: 2 - 5 fort         Average width: 2 - 5 fort         Average width: 2 - 5 fort         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fort (flead cuts from agricultural disturbance).         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fort (flead cuts from agricultural disturbance).         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fort (flead cuts from agricultural disturbance).         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fort (flead cuts from agricultural practices.         Cobbles       □ Other. Explain:         Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be relatively stable however, signes of acclerated erosion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and sufface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of run/rifle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by rifles but do contain some rifle pool complexes.         Tributary grounder:       Yestion:         Tributary provides for Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perential)         Deter information on duration and volume:         Surface flow vis:       Cohined. Characteristics:         Surface flow:       Scaffmed. Characteristics:         Subscriftene flow:       Scaffmed. Characteristics:         Subscriftene out is contr		Tributary is: 🛛 Natural
Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Agricultural practices          Introductory properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):         Average vide: 2 - 5 feet         Sils       Sands         Belancek       Clearente         Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be relatively         stille however, signes of acclented erosion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic         inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of murifille/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by rifiles but do contain some rifice flow regime: Intermittent and Premiall         Tributary groundest (approximate average slope):       %         Cimeet       Dimentities (Stream 11 - Intermittent Astreams receive		Artificial (man-made). Explain:
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):         Average with: 2-5 fet         Average with: 2-5 of cet         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Average depth: 0.5 - 6 fet (Idead cuts from agricultural disturbance):         Bedroak:       C protein groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of mrifile/pool complexes.       Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by rifles but do contain some rifle pool complexes.         Tributary groundes for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial):       Estimate average multer of flow events in review area/year. Pick List         Describe flow regime:       Intermittent and Perennial       Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow ris:       Coltrawer of soil       Interpreter presence of litter and debpis         Other Witck List Explain findings: <t< td=""><td></td><td>Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Agricultural practices</td></t<>		Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Agricultural practices
Average state: 0.5 - 6 feet (Head cuts from agricultural disturbance)         Average side slopes: 2:1.         Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):         Silts       Sands         Cobbles       Gravel         Bedrock       Vegetation. Type% cover:         Other. Explain:       Muck         Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly croding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be relatively stable however, signes of calenated crossion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from gronowhater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of nurriffle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes.         Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):       %         (*)       Flow:         Thotary provides for:       Pick List (Stream 11 Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)         Distributary provides for:       Pick List (Stream 11 Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)         Distributary provides for:       Pick List (Stream 11 Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)         Other information on duration and volume:       Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Surface flow is:       Confined. Characteristics:         Surface flow is:       Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow is:       Confined. down, bent, or about       des		<b>Tributary</b> properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
Average side slopes: 2:1.         Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):         Site       Sands         Cobble       Concrete         Bedrock       Vegetation. Type% cover:         Thotary condition/abality [c.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be relatively stable however, signes of acclerated erosion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of run iffile/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some rifle pool complexes.         Tributary groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of run iffile/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some rifle pool complexes.         Tributary groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of run iffile/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some rifle pool complexes.         Tributary groundes for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)         Estimate average number of flow events in review area year. Pick List         Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:		Average depth: 0.5 - 6 feet (Head cuts from agricultural disturbance)
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):		Average side slopes: 2:1.
primary motianty substrate composition (seeks all that apply):       Concrete         Silts       Gravel       Muck         Bedrock       Vegetation. Type% cover:       Muck         Other. Explain:       Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be relatively stable however, signes of acclented erosion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of nutriffle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes.         Tributary gonditivery Meandering         Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):       %         (c) Flow:         Tributary gonditivery Meandering         Tributary gonditivery meandering         Tributary gonditivery meandering         Tributary gonditivery meandering         Tributary gonditivery state from and volume:         Surface flow: Fick List (Stream 11 - Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)         Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List         Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow: Fick List Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):       he presence of wake line		<b>D</b> <sup>1</sup> (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
(a) Status		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
Control is in the intervention of the presence of liter and debis     Control is in the intervention of the presence of liter and debis     Control is in the intervention of the presence of liter and debis     Control is in the intervention     Control is in the intervention of the intervention     Control is in the intervention of the intervention of the intervention     Control is intervention     Control is intervention     Control interventinter     Control intervention		Cobbles Gravel Muck
<ul> <li>☐ Other: Explain:</li> <li>☐ Other: Explain:</li> <li>☐ Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly croding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be relatively stable however, signes of acclerated crossion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.</li> <li>Presence of run/iffle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 &amp; 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes.</li> <li><b>(e)</b> Flow:</li> <li>Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)</li> <li>Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial</li> <li>Other information on duration and volume:</li> <li>Surface flow: Fick List Explain findings:</li> <li>□ Dye (or other) test performed:</li> <li>Tributary has (check all indicators that apply):</li> <li>□ def and banks</li> <li>□ def and banks</li> <li>□ def and banks</li> <li>□ def and banks</li> <li>□ definer disturbed or washed away</li> <li>□ discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to defermine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):</li> <li>□ discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM</li></ul>		Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover:
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be relatively stable however, signes of acclerated crossion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of run/rifle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by rifles but do contain some rifle pool complexes.         Tributary groundtry: Meandering         Tributary growides for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittent, Stream 12 - Perennial)         Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List         Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow: Sic Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Fick List Explain findings:		Other. Explain:
Tribulary condution statuting [e.g., inguity eroding, storging banks]. Explain: Streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.         Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes.         Tributary generity: Meandering         Comment         Strimet average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List         Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Suburbace flow: Pick List Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):		
Presence of run/rifle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by rifles but do contain some rifle pool complexes. Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): % (c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittient, Stream 12 - Perennial) Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): C clear, natural line impressed on the bank cleanges in the character of soil C clear, natural line impressed on the bank cleanges in the character of soil C clear, natural line impressed on the bank cleange of the presence of litter and debris C clear, natural line impressed on the bank cleanges in the character of soil C clear, natural line impressed on the bank cleange of the presence of wrack line C we getation matted down, bent, or absent C water staining C other (list): C clear in the OHWM <sup>6</sup> Explain: C clear in the OHWM <sup>6</sup> Explain: C clear in the cleange bare objects C clear in the cleange bare objects C clear in the other of the streater of the presence of the datus: C clear in the cleange bare objects C clear in the clear developed in (creace clear in the datus) C clear in the other datus down in the datus clear in the datus cl		stable however, signes of acclerated erosion are apparent from agricultural practices. The streams receive hydrologic inputs from groundwater and surface runoff from precipitation events.
Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):       %         (c)       Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermittient, Stream 12 - Perennial) Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow:       Stream 11 - Intermittient, Stream 12 - Perennial) Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow:       Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks       the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil belving         Getar, natural line impressed on the bank belving       the presence of wrack line sediment sorting         Getar, natural line impressed on the bank belving       the presence of wrack line sediment sorting         Getar, natural line impressed on the bank belving       the presence of wrack line sediment sorting         Getar, natural line induced own, bent, or absent sediment deposition       abrupt change in plant community         Mater (list):       multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community         Getar (list):       multiple discurve to available datum: ol or scum line along shore objects ol or scum line along shore objects       murey to available datum: ol or scum line along shore objects         Getar (list):       matkings/characteristics       p		Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Streams 11 & 12 appear to be dominated by riffles but do contain some riffle pool complexes.
Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):       %         (c) <u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 – Intermittent, Stream 12 – Perennial)         Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List         Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial         Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:         Dyc (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):		Tributary geometry: Meandering
<ul> <li>(c) <u>Flow:</u> <ul> <li>Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 – Intermittient, Stream 12 – Perennial)</li> <li>Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List</li> <li>Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial</li> <li>Other information on duration and volume:</li> <li>Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:</li> <li>Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: <ul> <li>Dye (or other) test performed:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Tributary has (check all that apply): <ul> <li>Bed and banks</li> <li>Charages in the character of soil</li> <li>destruction of terestrial vegetation</li> <li>destruction of terestrial vegetation</li> <li>the presence of litter and debris</li> <li>changes in the character of soil</li> <li>destruction of terestrial vegetation</li> <li>the presence of varack line</li> <li>vegetation matted down, bent, or absent</li> <li>leaf litter disturbed or washed away</li> <li>sediment deposition</li> <li>water staining</li> <li>other (list):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> </ul> </li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):</li> <li>High Tide Line indicated by:</li> <li>Mean High Water Mark indicated by:</li> <li>Surface in a dog shore objects</li> <li>fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)</li> <li>physical markings/characteristics</li> <li>vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.</li> <li>dial gauges</li> <li>other (list):</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
<ul> <li>Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 – Intermittitent, Stream 12 – Perennial) Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume:</li> <li>Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:</li> <li>Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:</li></ul>	(c)	Flow:
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply): Clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation Shelving destruction of terrestrial vegetation Stell inter disturbed or washed away Sediment deposition Stell itter disturbed or washed away Sediment deposition Stell itter (list): Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Stell anakings/characteristics Clear and the sing shore objects Stell or debris deposits (foreshore) Stell anakings/characteristics Stell or debris deposits (foreshore) Stell anakings: Stell or debris deposits (foreshore) Stell anakings: Stell or debris deposits (foreshore) Stell or debris deposits (f	()	Tributary provides for: Pick List (Stream 11 - Intermitttent, Stream 12 - Perennial)
Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: <b>Confined.</b> Characteristics: Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply): Clear, natural line impressed on the bank in the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil indicators of the presence of wrack line shelving intermeter of soil indicators of the presence of wrack line shelving intermeter of soil indicators of the presence of wrack line sediment deposition is sediment sorting sediment deposition induced down, bent, or absent is sediment sorting sediment deposition induced away is soor other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: indicated		Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List
Other information on duration and volume:         Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWW <sup>1</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         detar, natural line impressed on the bank         changes in the character of soil         destruction of terrestrial vegetation         shelving         uegetation matted down, bent, or absent         sediment deposition         leaf litter disturbed or washed away         sediment deposition         water staining         other (list):         Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         High Tide Line indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects         survey to available datum;         physical markings/characteristics         upsysical markings/characteristics         upsysical markings/characteristics         other (list):		Describe flow regime: Intermittent and Perennial
Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:         Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         default indicators of soil         default indicator		Other information on duration and volume:
Subsurface flow: Pick List, Explain findings:         Dye (or other) test performed:         Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         clear, natural line impressed on the bank         changes in the character of soil         destruction of terrestrial vegetation         shelving         vegetation matted down, bent, or absent         sediment deposition         leaf litter disturbed or washed away         scour         sediment deposition         multiple observed or predicted flow events         water staining         other (list):         Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:    If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):          High Tide Line indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects         survey to available datum;         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)         physical markings/characteristics         upysical markings/characteristics         upysical markings;         upysical markings;         upysical markings;         upysical markings;         upysical markings;         usother (list):		Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:
□ Dye (or other) test performed:          Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         ○ OHWM <sup>9</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         ○ clear, natural line impressed on the bank       the presence of litter and debris         ○ changes in the character of soil       destruction of terrestrial vegetation         ○ shelving       □ the presence of wrack line         ○ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent       Sediment sorting         □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away       ○ scour         ○ sediment deposition       □ multiple observed or predicted flow events         □ water staining       □ abrupt change in plant community         □ other (list):       □         □ Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:       .         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         □ High Tide Line indicated by:       □ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:         □ oil or scum line along shore objects       □ survey to available datum;         □ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)       □ physical markings;         □ physical markings/characteristics       □ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types,         □ tidal gauges       □ other (list):		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:
Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         clear, natural line impressed on the bank         changes in the character of soil         destruction of terrestrial vegetation         shelving         vegetation matted down, bent, or absent         sediment deposition         leaf litter disturbed or washed away         sediment deposition         water staining         other (list):         Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:         High Tide Line indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)         physical markings/characteristics         other (list):         oil or scum line along shore objects         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)         physical markings/characteristics         vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.		Dye (or other) test performed:
Introductly has (check an that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         clear, natural line impressed on the bank       the presence of litter and debris         changes in the character of soil       destruction of terrestrial vegetation         shelving       the presence of wrack line         vegetation matted down, bent, or absent       sediment sorting         leaf litter disturbed or washed away       secour         sediment deposition       multiple observed or predicted flow events         water staining       abrupt change in plant community         other (list):       Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         High Tide Line indicated by:       Mean High Water Mark indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects       survey to available datum;         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)       physical markings;         physical markings/characteristics       vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.         tidal gauges       other (list):		Tellesters has (about all that such).
<ul> <li>OHWM<sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):</li> <li>Clear, natural line impressed on the bank in the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation is shelving in the character of soil is shelving in the presence of wrack line is sediment sorting sediment down, bent, or absent is sediment sorting sediment deposition is sediment deposition is destruction of predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Mean High Water Mark indicated by:</li> <li>Discontinuous objects is survey to available datum;</li> <li>fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;</li> <li>physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.</li> <li>tidal gauges</li> <li>other (list):</li> </ul>		Bed and banks
<ul> <li>clear, natural line impressed on the bank   the presence of litter and debris   destruction of terrestrial vegetation   shelving   leaf litter disturbed or washed away   scour   sediment deposition   multiple observed or predicted flow events   abrupt change in plant community   other (list):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):</li> <li>High Tide Line indicated by:   Mean High Water Mark indicated by:   oil or scum line along shore objects   survey to available datum;   physical markings/characteristics   vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.   tidal gauges   other (list):</li> </ul>		$\square$ OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):
<ul> <li>changes in the character of soil</li> <li>destruction of terrestrial vegetation</li> <li>shelving</li> <li>vegetation matted down, bent, or absent</li> <li>leaf litter disturbed or washed away</li> <li>sediment deposition</li> <li>multiple observed or predicted flow events</li> <li>abrupt change in plant community</li> <li>other (list):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> </ul> If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): <ul> <li>High Tide Line indicated by:</li> <li>oil or scum line along shore objects</li> <li>fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)</li> <li>physical markings/characteristics</li> <li>vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.</li> </ul> (iii) Chemical Characteristics:		$\boxtimes$ clear, natural line impressed on the bank $\square$ the presence of litter and debris
<ul> <li>shelving</li> <li>the presence of wrack line</li> <li>vegetation matted down, bent, or absent</li> <li>leaf litter disturbed or washed away</li> <li>sediment deposition</li> <li>water staining</li> <li>other (list):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):</li> <li>High Tide Line indicated by:</li> <li>oil or scum line along shore objects</li> <li>fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)</li> <li>physical markings/characteristics</li> <li>vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.</li> <li>tidal gauges</li> <li>other (list):</li> </ul>		☐ changes in the character of soil
□       vegetation matted down, bent, or absent       □       sediment sorting         □       leaf litter disturbed or washed away       □       scour         □       sediment deposition       □       multiple observed or predicted flow events         □       water staining       □       abrupt change in plant community         □       other (list):       □       Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:         □       If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         □       High Tide Line indicated by:       □         □       oil or scum line along shore objects       □         □       oil or scum line along shore objects       □         □       physical markings/characteristics       □         □       physical markings/characteristics       □         □       other (list):       .		shelving the presence of wrack line
□       leaf litter disturbed or washed away       scour         □       sediment deposition       □         □       water staining       □       abrupt change in plant community         □       other (list):       □       Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:       .         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):       □       High Tide Line indicated by:       □       Mean High Water Mark indicated by:       □       oil or scum line along shore objects       □       survey to available datum;       □       physical markings;       □       physical markings;       □       vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.         □       tidal gauges       □       other (list):       (iii) Chemical Characteristics:        vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.		vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
<ul> <li>sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain: .</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types tidal gauges other (list):</li> </ul>		leaf litter disturbed or washed away 🛛 scour
<ul> <li>water staming abrupt change in plant community</li></ul>		Sediment deposition I multiple observed or predicted flow events
<ul> <li>inter (nst):</li> <li>Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>6</sup> Explain:</li> <li>If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):</li> <li>High Tide Line indicated by:</li> <li>indicated by</li></ul>		abrupt change in plant community
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):          If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If is off or source lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If is off or source lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If is off or source lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If is off or source lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If is off or source lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):         If is off or source lateral extent of cwaracteristics         If is off or source lateral extent of cwaracteristics:         (iii) Chemical Characteristics:		Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):          High Tide Line indicated by:       Mean High Water Mark indicated by:         oil or scum line along shore objects       survey to available datum;         fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)       physical markings;         physical markings/characteristics       vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.         tidal gauges       other (list):		
<ul> <li>oil or scum line along shore objects</li> <li>fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)</li> <li>physical markings/characteristics</li> <li>tidal gauges</li> <li>other (list):</li> </ul>		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
<ul> <li>fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)</li> <li>physical markings;</li> <li>physical markings/characteristics</li> <li>tidal gauges</li> <li>other (list):</li> </ul>		il oil or seum line along shore objects survey to available datum;
<ul> <li>itidal gauges</li> <li>other (list):</li> </ul>		fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:		□ physical markings/characteristics □ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:		L udai gauges
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:		
(m) carrier carrier and a construction of the	(iii) Che	emical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water color is brown; some areas within the tributaries have an orange-brownish iron sheen. Watershed is generally mixed pine/hardwood forest, agricultural use, and residential.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>6</sup>Ibid.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

#### (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Hardwood forest, +/- 100 feet
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Ground, mid, and upper canopy coverage providing habitat for aquatic species and wildlife diversity.

#### 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

- (i) Physical Characteristics:
  - (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
  - (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
  - Directly abutting
  - Not directly abutting
    - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Physical surface water connection through Stream 9.
    - Ecological connection. Explain:
    - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

#### (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water color is brown with some iron oil sheen on surface. Vegetation is generally hardwoods and ground story herbaceous.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

## (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

## 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3

Approximately 1.17 acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland E (Y)	0.75		Contraction of the second second
Wetland F (Y)	0.42		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands perform functions such as flood control, erosion and sediment control, pollution control, and providing wildlife habitat.

## C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

## Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

## Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

Stream 11 & 12 contain a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to downstream jurisdictional streams and wetlands which ultimately flow into the Oconee River.

4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Wetland (E & F) contains a biological, chemical, and physical surface water connection to abutting RPWs that flow indirectly to the Oconee River.

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

#### 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Stream 12 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation and contained distinct ordinary high-water marks, moderate to strong channel bed and bank, strong distribution of substrate size, moderate sinuosity, and groundwater inputs.

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Stream 11 had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation. However, the geomorphology, hydrology, and biology of these tributaries were not as strong to indicate year-round flow. These tributaries contained weak channel bed and bank, and weak sinuosity.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: 798 linear feet width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:

#### 3. Non-RPWs<sup>7</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  - Identify type(s) of waters:

## 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetlands E & F directly abut Stream 12 which had continuous and flowing surface water at time of observation and contained distinct ordinary high-water marks, moderate to strong channel bed and bank, strong distribution of substrate size, moderate sinuosity, and groundwater inputs. Wetland E & F drain directly into Stream 5.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.17 acres.

#### 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

## 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>8</sup>

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

#### <sup>7</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

#### E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>9</sup>

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes

from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce

which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce

Interstate isolated waters - Explain:

Other factors - Explain:

### Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
  - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture; i.e., <u>SWANCC</u> <u>Decision</u>), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

] Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard (i.e., <u>Rapanos Decision</u>), where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

#### SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
  - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
  - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
    - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
      - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
  - Corps navigable waters' study:
  - U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
    - USGS NHD data
    - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
    - U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:12000; Athens East, Crawford, Barnett Shoals, Maxeys (GA)
  - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Oglethorpe County
  - National wetlands inventory map(s): NWI Digital Data
  - State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
  - FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA NFHL GIS
  - 100-year Floodplain Elevation:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

- Photographs: Aerial: ESRI World Imagery, 2016 or Other: Field photographs, April 2019
   Previous determination(s):
   Applicable/supporting case law:
   Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
   Other information (please specify): Historical Aerials, LiDAR

## **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**



US Army Corps of Engineers.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers** 

Non-Waters "Lagoons" (1-9)

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

#### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/10/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Wolfskin, 2019-00639

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Georgia County/parish/borough: Oglethorpe County City: Winterville Approximate center coordinates of site (in degree decimal format): Latitude 33.878539 °N, Longitude -83.246738 ° W Universal Transverse Mercator Zone:

Name of nearest waterbody: Moss Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Oconee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03070101

 $\boxtimes$ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

#### D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination Date: 1/10/2020
- X Field Determination - Date(s): 12/27/2019

#### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

#### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
  - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
    - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
    - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
    - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres Wetlands: acres
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>
  - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

Nine (9) livestock lagoons were assessed and deemed non-waters. These lagoons do not have significant nexus to WOUS.

#### Additional information:

- 33CFR § 328.3 (b)(4)(ii), "artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds...are not waters of the US"
- The non-waters are constructed livestock lagoons that store animal waste. These lagoons were constructed from 1975-1985 and were used for hog operation waste until 2005.
  - The non-waters features do not drain WOUS, nor do they provide connection between WOUS.
  - There were no observed back flows from wetlands into the non-waters lagoons during field studies.

The non-waters lagoon features have insignificant connection to overall physical, chemical, and biological health of the surrounding potentially jurisdictional aquatic features.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

 Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months) if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional if there is a significant nexus. A wetland that directly abut an RPW also requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water.

If a significant nexus is required, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If a significant nexus is required, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
  - (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches
  - (ii) Physical Characteristics:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

(a)	Relationship with TNW:         Tributary flows directly into TNW.         Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW. Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from RPW. Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>4</sup> : . Tributary stream order, if known: .
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):         Tributary is: <ul> <li>Natural</li> <li>Artificial (man-made). Explain:</li> <li>Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:</li> <li>.</li> </ul>
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):         Average width:       feet         Average depth:       feet         Average side slopes:       Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):         Silts       Sands         Cobbles       Gravel         Bedrock       Vegetation. Type/% cover:         Other. Explain:       .
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: <b>Pick List</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):         Bed and banks         OHWM <sup>5</sup> (check all indicators that apply):         clear, natural line impressed on the bank         changes in the character of soil         destruction of terrestrial vegetation         shelving         vegetation matted down, bent, or absent         leaf litter disturbed or washed away         sediment deposition         water staining         other (list):         Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>6</sup> Explain:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>5</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>6</sup>Ibid.

- oil or scum line along shore objects
  - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

survey to available datum;

- physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges
- other (list):

### (iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

## (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
- 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### **Physical Characteristics:** (i)

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

#### (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

## (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

## (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:

#### (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
   Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

#### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

## C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

## Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

## Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW where the RPW flows directly or indirectly into a TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

#### 3. Non-RPWs<sup>7</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:
  - Identify type(s) of waters:

### 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
  - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
  - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

#### 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

## 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>8</sup>

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
  - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

#### E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>9</sup>

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
- Interstate isolated waters Explain:
- Other factors Explain:

#### Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

<sup>7</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
  - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
  - Other: (explain, if not covered above):
  - 33CFR § 328.3 (b)(4)(ii), "artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds...are not waters of the US"
- The non-waters are constructed livestock lagoons that store animal waste. These lagoons were constructed from 1975-1985 and were used for hog operation waste until 2005.
  - The non-waters features do not drain WOUS, nor do they provide connection between WOUS.
  - There were no observed back flows from wetlands into the non-waters lagoons during field studies.

#### The non-waters lagoon features have insignificant connection to overall physical, chemical, and biological health of the surrounding potentially jurisdictional aquatic features.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture; i.e., SWANCC Decision), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): width (ft). linear feet Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard (i.e., Rapanos Decision), where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds:
- Other non-wetland waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

## SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
- X Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

acres.

- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - USGS NHD data
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:12000; Athens East, Crawford, Barnett Shoals, Maxeys (GA)
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey: Oglethorpe County
- National wetlands inventory map(s): NWI Digital Data
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA NFHL GIS
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation:
  - Photographs: Aerial: ESRI World Imagery, 2016
  - or Other: Field photographs, April 2019
- Previous determination(s):



Applicable/supporting case law:
 Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
 Other information (please specify): Historical Aerials, LiDAR

## **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**